

THE CHARTER OF THE NEW URBANISM

PREAMBLE

The Congress for the New Urbanism views dis-investment in central cities, the spread of placeless sprawl, increasing separation by race and income, environmental deterioration, loss of agricultural lands and wilderness, and the erosion of society's built heritage as one interrelated community-building challenge.

We stand for the restoration of existing urban centers and towns within coherent metropolitan regions, the reconfiguration of sprawling suburbs into communities of real neighborhoods and diverse districts, the conservation of natural environments, and the preservation of our built legacy.

We recognize that physical solutions by themselves will not solve social and economic problems, but neither can economic vitality, community stability, and environmental health be sustained without a coherent and supportive physical framework.

We advocate the restructuring of public policy and development practices to support the following principles: neighborhoods should be diverse in use and population; communities should be designed for the pedestrian and transit as well as the car; cities and towns should be shaped by physically defined and universally accessible public spaces and community institutions; urban places should be framed by architecture and landscape design that celebrate local history, climate, ecology, and building practice.

We represent a broad-based citizenry, composed of public and private sector leaders, community activists, and multi-disciplinary professionals. We are committed to re-establishing the relationship between the art of building and the making of community, through citizen-based participatory planning and design.

We dedicate ourselves to reclaiming our homes, blocks, streets, parks, neighborhoods, districts, towns, cities, regions, and environment.

We assert the following principles to guide public policy, development practice, urban planning, and design:

THE REGION: METROPOLIS, CITY, AND TOWN

1. Metropolitan regions are finite places with geographic boundaries derived from topography, watersheds, coastlines, farmlands, regional parks, and river basins. The metropolis is made of multiple centers that are cities, towns, and villages, each with its own identifiable center and edges.
2. The metropolitan region is a fundamental economic unit of the contemporary world. Governmental cooperation, public policy, physical planning, and economic strategies must reflect this new reality.
3. The metropolis has a necessary and fragile relationship to its agrarian hinterland and natural landscapes. The relationship is environmental, economic, and cultural. Farmland and nature are as important to the metropolis as the garden is to the house.
4. Development patterns should not blur or eradicate the edges of the metropolis. Infill development within existing urban areas conserves environmental resources, economic investment, and social fabric, while reclaiming marginal and abandoned areas. Metropolitan regions should develop strategies to encourage such infill development over peripheral expansion.
5. Where appropriate, new development contiguous to urban boundaries should be organized as neighborhoods and districts, and be integrated with the existing urban pattern. Non-contiguous development should be organized as towns and villages with their own urban edges, and planned for a jobs/housing balance, not as bedroom suburbs.
6. The development and redevelopment of towns and cities should respect historical patterns, precedents, and boundaries.
7. Cities and towns should bring into proximity a broad spectrum of public and private uses to support a regional economy that benefits people of all incomes. Affordable housing should be distributed throughout the region to match job opportunities and to avoid concentrations of poverty.
8. The physical organization of the region should be supported by a framework of transportation alternatives. Transit, pedestrian, and bicycle systems should maximize access and mobility throughout the region while reducing dependence upon the automobile.
9. Revenues and resources can be shared more cooperatively among the municipalities and centers within regions to avoid destructive competition for tax base and to promote rational coordination of transportation, recreation, public services, housing, and community institutions.

THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THE DISTRICT, AND THE CORRIDOR

1. The neighborhood, the district, and the corridor are the essential elements of development and redevelopment in the metropolis. They form identifiable areas that encourage citizens to take responsibility for their maintenance and evolution.
2. Neighborhoods should be compact, pedestrian-friendly, and mixed-use. Districts generally emphasize a special single use, and should follow the principles of neighborhood design when possible. Corridors are regional connectors of neighborhoods and districts; they range from boulevards and rail lines to rivers and parkways.
3. Many activities of daily living should occur within walking distance, allowing independence to those who do not drive, especially the elderly and the young. Interconnected networks of streets should be designed to encourage walking, reduce the number and length of automobile trips, and conserve energy.
4. Within neighborhoods, a broad range of housing types and price levels can bring people of diverse ages, races, and incomes into daily interaction, strengthening the personal and civic bonds essential to an authentic community.
5. Transit corridors, when properly planned and coordinated, can help organize metropolitan structure and revitalize urban centers. In contrast, highway corridors should not displace investment from existing centers.
6. Appropriate building densities and land uses should be within walking distance of transit stops, permitting public transit to become a viable alternative to the automobile.
7. Concentrations of civic, institutional, and commercial activity should be embedded in neighborhoods and districts, not isolated in remote, single-use complexes. Schools should be sized and located to enable children to walk or bicycle to them.
8. The economic health and harmonious evolution of neighborhoods, districts, and corridors can be improved through graphic urban design codes that serve as predictable guides for change.
9. A range of parks, from tot-lots and village greens to ballfields and community gardens, should be distributed within neighborhoods. Conservation areas and open lands should be used to define and connect different neighborhoods and districts.

THE BLOCK, THE STREET, AND THE BUILDING

1. A primary task of all urban architecture and landscape design is the physical definition of streets and public spaces as places of shared use.
2. Individual architectural projects should be seamlessly linked to their surroundings. This issue transcends style.
3. The revitalization of urban places depends on safety and security. The design of streets and buildings should reinforce safe environments, but not at the expense of accessibility and openness.
4. In the contemporary metropolis, development must adequately accommodate automobiles. It should do so in ways that respect the pedestrian and the form of public space.
5. Streets and squares should be safe, comfortable, and interesting to the pedestrian. Properly configured, they encourage walking and enable neighbors to know each other and protect their communities.
6. Architecture and landscape design should grow from local climate, topography, history, and building practice.
7. Civic buildings and public gathering places require important sites to reinforce community identity and the culture of democracy. They deserve distinctive form, because their role is different from that of other buildings and places that constitute the fabric of the city.
8. All buildings should provide their inhabitants with a clear sense of location, weather and time. Natural methods of heating and cooling can be more resource-efficient than mechanical systems.
9. Preservation and renewal of historic buildings, districts, and landscapes affirm the continuity and evolution of urban society.



**E . APPENDIX**  
4 . BIBLIOGRAPHY

**LOS ALAMOS :**

Linda K. Aldrich and Marjorie Bell Chambers, *Los Alamos, New Mexico: A Survey to 1949*. Los Alamos Historical Society, 1999.

Fermor S. and Peggy Pond Church, *When Los Alamos Was a Ranch School*. Los Alamos Historical Society, 1998.

Joel Davidson, “Building for War, Preparing for Peace: World War II and the Military-Industrial Complex” In: Donald Albrecht, editor, *World War II and the American Dream*. National Building Museum / The MIT Press, 1995.

Richard Longstreth, “The Lost Shopping Center.” In: *The Forum: Bulletin of the Committee on Preservation*. October 1992, Number 20.

*Los Alamos: Beginning of an Era 1943-1945*. Los Alamos Historical Society, 1986.

Hal K. Rothman, *On Rims and Ridges: The Los Alamos Area Since 1880*. University of Nebraska Press, 1997.

**NEW MEXICO & THE SOUTHWEST :**

Bainbridge Bunting, *Early Architecture in New Mexico*. University of New Mexico Press, 1976.

Bainbridge Bunting, *John Gaw Meem: Southwestern Architect*. University of New Mexico Press, 1983.

Robin Elkin, Patricia Pollack and Chris Wilson, *New Mexico Multi-Family Housing*. University of New Mexico, School of Architecture and Planning, 1997.

William N. Morgan, *Ancient Architecture of the Southwest*. University of Texas Press, 1994.

Baker H. Morrow, *Best Plants for New Mexico Gardens and Landscapes*. University of New Mexico Press.

Trent Elwood Sanford, *The Architecture of the Southwest*. University of Arizona Press, 1997.

Vincent Scully, *Pueblo/Mountain, Village, Dance*. The Viking Press, 1975.

Nina Veregge, “Transformations of Spanish Urban Landscapes in the American Southwest, 1821-1900”. In: *Journal of the Southwest*, Winter 1993.

Arnold Vigil, *Backtracks: Time Travels through New Mexico*. New Mexico Magazine, 1994.

Chris Wilson, *The Myth of Santa Fe: Creating a Modern Regional Tradition*. University of New Mexico Press, 1997.

**NEW URBANISM :**

Jonathan Barnett, *The Fractured Metropolis: Improving the New City, Restoring the Old City, Reshaping the Region*. Harper Collins, 1995.

Peter Calthorpe, *The Next American Metropolis: Ecology, Community, and the American Dream*. Princeton Architectural Press, 1993.

Michael Leccese and Kathleen McCormick, editors, *Charter of the New Urbanism*. New York: McGraw-Hill Books, 1999.

Andres Duany and Elizabeth Plater-Zyberk, *Towns and Townmaking Principles*. Rizzoli, 1991.

Allan B. Jacobs, *Great Streets*. MIT Press, 1993.

Jane Jacobs, *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*. Vintage Books, 1992.

Peter Katz, *The New Urbanism: Toward an Architecture of Community*. McGraw-Hill, 1994.

James Howard Kunstler, *Home from Nowhere: Remaking our Everyday World for the 21st Century*. Simon & Schuster, 1996.

James Howard Kunstler, *The Geography of Nowhere: The Rise and Decline of America’s Man-Made Landscape*. Simon & Schuster, 1994

Stefanos Polyzoides, Roger Sherwood, James Tice, and Julius Shulman *Courtyard Housing in Los Angeles: A Typological Analysis*. Princeton Architectural Press, 1992.

John Repts, *The Making of Urban America*. Princeton University Press, 1965m 1994.



The Voice of God in New Mexico